



**STATE MONITORING & RISK ASSESSMENT
EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTH (EHCY) PROGRAM**

FEBRUARY 21, 2019
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (DEPARTMENT)

Introductions



- **John McLaughlin**, Federal Program Coordinator, EHCY Program
U.S. Department of Education
John.Mclaughlin@ed.gov



- **Elyse Robertson**, Management and Program Analyst, EHCY Program
U.S. Department of Education
Elyse.Robertson@ed.gov

AGENDA

- Introduction to EHCY Monitoring
- The Performance Management Pilot Monitoring Protocol
- Common Findings, Recommendations and Commendations
- Tool for Assessing Grantee Risk (TAGR)
- Next Steps



INTRODUCTION

- Monitoring the use of Federal funds is an essential function of the Department.
- While some States receive onsite visits, the Department also monitors through desk reviews, which could include video or teleconferencing.
- Since FY 2011, the EHCY program, along with other programs have conducted a risk assessment process for targeting monitoring and technical assistance.



PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PILOT

FY 2015 -18

- In August 2014, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) recommended that the Department improve oversight of the EHCY program through more regular monitoring of State Educational Agencies (SEA) and Local Educational Agencies (LEA).

- In response, the Department revised its monitoring protocol. The main changes included:
 - The development of a more comprehensive performance risk assessment of SEAs; and
 - A greater focus on using LEA-level performance data to select LEA subgrantees for interview.



PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT PILOT

CONTINUED

- In FY 2017 a new performance management indicator was added.
 - The new indicator, 1.2, includes requirements for data quality review, data analysis, and use of program data at the SEA and LEA subgrantee-levels to set baseline goals and annual targets.
- SEAs have the opportunity to resolve compliance findings during the 30 business day technical review period. If the finding can be resolved in this time period, the finding is removed from the final report.
- 6 SEAs were monitored in this pilot; 18 have been monitored since FY 15.



MONITORING RESULTS

COMPLIANCE FINDINGS

- Five compliance findings were made during the pilot.
- Those findings fell into two categories:
- Indicator 1.1
 - The SEA conducts monitoring of LEAs with and without subgrants, sufficient to ensure compliance with McKinney-Vento program requirements.
- Indicator 3.3
 - The SEA ensures that the LEA complies with providing comparable Title I, Part A services to homeless students attending non-Title I and Title I schools.



MONITORING RESULTS

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 15 SEAs received recommendations for improvement.
- Recommendations fell into four areas:
- Indicator 1.2
 - The SEA assesses the data quality and annual performance of homeless students in LEAs with and without subgrants.
 - Create workplans with measurable goals
 - Analyze EHCY performance data
- Indicator 2.2
 - The SEA provides, or provides for, professional development and technical assistance to LEAs to ensure appropriate implementation of the statute.
 - Track new liaison training
 - Increase the amount of statewide professional development



MONITORING RESULTS

RECOMMENDATIONS (CONT.)

■ Indicator 3.1

- The SEA ensures that LEA subgrant plans for services to eligible homeless students meet all requirements.
 - Provide technical assistance to subgrantee LEAs on evaluating performance
 - Award grants on time
 - Ensure that grant competition includes multiple reviewers

■ Indicator 3.3

- The SEA ensures that the LEAs comply with providing comparable Title I, Part A services to homeless students attending non-Title I and Title I Schools.
 - Lack of systematic coordination between EHCY and Title I, Part A at SEA level resulting in missing or inconsistent set-asides.



MONITORING RESULTS

EMERGING PRACTICES

- Under the performance management pilot, the Department began to include commendations of promising emerging practices observed at the LEA or SEA level.
- To be commended in a monitoring report, it must be judged that the practice could be replicated by EHCY programs in other SEAs.
- 26 emerging practices were identified across 17 monitored SEAs.



MONITORING REPORTS

DEPARTMENT EHCY MONITORING REPORTS ARE AVAILABLE ONLINE

<https://www2.ed.gov/programs/homeless/performance.html>



The screenshot shows the U.S. Department of Education website. At the top left is the 'Ed' logo. To its right is the text 'U.S. Department of Education' and a search box. Below this is a navigation bar with three items: 'Student Loans', 'Grants', and 'Laws'. Underneath is a 'PROGRAMS' section with the title 'Education for Homeless Children and Youths Grants for State and Local Activities'. A blue menu box contains several items: 'Purpose', 'Eligibility', 'Applicant Info', 'Awards', 'Performance' (circled in a blue oval), 'Funding Status', 'Laws, Regs, & Guidance', 'Resources', 'FAQs', and 'Contacts'. At the bottom of the menu box is the text 'Office of Elementary and Secondary Education Home >>'. Below the menu is the heading 'Performance' and the text 'SY 15-16 EHCY National Data Summary'.



TOOL FOR ASSESSING GRANTEE RISK (TAGR)

DOMAINS: RESULTS, QUALITY, COMPLIANCE, FINANCIAL

AutoSave On TAGR_EHCY_020717.xlsx - Excel George Thomas Hancock

File Home Insert Page Layout Formulas Data Review View Help Tell me what you want to do

Clipboard Font Alignment Number Styles Cells Editing Webex

F32

Domain:		Results								Quality								Time since grar since grantee was last report was issued included in annual				
Risk Factor:		GPRA outcomes: Grantee performance on GPRA targets				Progress on leading indicators: Grantee progress on leading indicators				Progress toward project objectives: Grantee progress toward stated project objectives				Quality of project design: Level of evidence		Personnel: Experience of key staff		Personnel: Key staff are employed to implement program		Personnel: Turnover in key staff		
Program Risk Score:	Average Standardized Grantee Risk Score:	Eval Component:		Incl		Supplemental		Adj. Score		Incl		Supplemental		Adj. Score		Incl		Supplemental		Adj. Score		Score
		Enabled:	Yes	Not Considered	Yes	Not Considered	Yes	Not Considered	Yes	Not Considered	Yes	Not Considered	Yes	Not Considered	Yes	Not Considered	Yes	Not Considered	Yes	Not Considered		
Entity	Total Adj. Score	Score	Supplemental	Sup. Calc.	Adj. Score	Score	Supplemental	Sup. Calc.	Adj. Score	Score	Supplemental	Sup. Calc.	Adj. Score	Score	Supplemental	Sup. Calc.	Adj. Score	Score	Supplemental	Sup. Calc.	Adj. Score	Score
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	7	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	6	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	7	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	7	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0
#REF!	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0

DASHBOARD PROGRAM RISK SCORE ENTITY RISK SCORES GRANTEE RISK SCORES LookupData Score Defs

9:30 AM 2/8/2019



TOOL FOR ASSESSING GRANTEE RISK (TAGR)

COLUMN HEADINGS FOR: RESULTS

- GPRA outcomes: Grantee performance on GPRA targets
- Progress on leading indicators: Grantee progress on leading indicators
- Progress toward project objectives: Grantee progress toward stated project objectives



TOOL FOR ASSESSING GRANTEE RISK (TAGR)

COLUMN HEADINGS FOR: QUALITY

- Quality of project design: Level of evidence
- Personnel: Experience of key staff
- Personnel: Key staff are employed to implement program
- Personnel: Turnover in key staff



TOOL FOR ASSESSING GRANTEE RISK (TAGR)

COLUMN HEADINGS FOR: COMPLIANCE

- Time since grantee monitoring: Time since grantee was monitored (any action where report was issued and/or monitoring actions included in annual monitoring plan/performance review)
- Monitoring findings: Findings related to integrity issues (i.e., the nature of the findings)
- Monitoring findings: Number of monitoring findings
- Grant conditions: Number of grant conditions (unique to the grantee)
- Data quality: Data quality score (based on program officer review of data)
- Risk data completeness: Grantee failed to submit required information or information requested by the program officer



TOOL FOR ASSESSING GRANTEE RISK (TAGR)

COLUMN HEADINGS FOR: FINANCIAL

- Drawdowns: Deviation from the expected pattern of drawdowns
- Size of Award: Dollar value of award
- Sub-grantees: Number of sub-awards or sub-grantees
- Budget: Budget quality
- Grantee internal control measures: Sustained audit findings



NEXT STEPS

FY 2019 AND BEYOND



REMINDER: EVERY STUDENT SUCCEEDS

ACT REQUIREMENTS FOR SEA WEBSITES

- Since October 2016, SEAs must post an updated local liaison directory for all LEAs on its website
 - ED/NCHE checks this annually in the Fall and notifies States not posting or updating their directories
- SEAs must also post the latest available homeless student enrollment total on its website
 - SEAs cannot just link to the NCHE State page or CSPR PDF on ed.gov
 - ED releases State totals on ED Data Express usually every summer (July-September) for previous reporting year

EHCY PART OF ESSA FEDERAL COMPLAINT PROCEDURE

- Complaints related to requirements in the McKinney-Vento Act are now included because section 8304(c)(3)(C) of the ESEA, as amended by ESSA, requires each State to adopt procedures to receive and resolve complaints alleging violations of law in the administration of the programs included the State's consolidated State plan
- SEAs and LEAs should have their own complaint procedures that parents, guardians or youth go through first before the complaint comes to the U.S. Department of Education



QUESTIONS?

November
3, 2015





THANK YOU!

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE OF SCHOOL OF SCHOOL SUPPORT AND ACCOUNTABILITY (OSSA)